

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/764,441	KIHARA, MINORU	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gary Estremsky	3673	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Gary Estremsky. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James HAmilton. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 July 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: proposed amended claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: While there was some agreement as to proposed amendment of the claims defining from prior art previously relied on, agreement as to the ultimate allowability of the claims could not be agreed to prior to fuller examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.